Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/750,051	FUJIMORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dwin M. Craig	2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIG of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not includ will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>11-10-05</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-22</u> .			
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a)	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this communication to file a reply received.	national stage applica	·
noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi	tted. Note the attached EXAMINER'		IOTICE OF
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	es reason(s) why the oath or declara	tion is deficient.	
 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers. 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the deposed of the property of the deposed attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT for the deposed of the property of the property of the property of the Notice of Draftspers. 	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Amendment / Comment or in the O 84(c)) should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c	office action of ngs in the front (not the d). nust be submitted. I	
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. Notice of Informal P 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. Examiner's Amendn 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	(PTO-413), e nent/Comment	ŕ

Application/Control Number: 09/750,051

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

And

Examiner's Reasons for Allowance

1. Claims 1-22 are allowed.

Examiner's Reasons for Allowance

- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- As regards independent claims 1, 10 & 19 and using independent claim 1 as an example, 2.1 the following limitations in combination with other limitations are neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art, "calculating recommended circuit information considered to minimize a noise" and "comparing the input circuit information and the recommended circuit information". The Examiner notes that Sato US Patent 6,278,951 teaches "calculating crosstalk noise related to a <sic> target circuit (Figure 4 item S4)", however Sato fails to teach or provide motivation to then compare the *original* or *unmodified* circuit information with the resultant or *recommended* circuit information. Further, the Applicants' persuasive arguments presented in the 11/10/2005 Appeal Brief, have clearly shown how the expressly claimed limitations in independent claims 1, 10 and 19 read past the prior art references applied, the specific arguments on page 4, [That is Chian does not teach how the "noise reduction components" (that is, noise countermeasures) are determined.] in combination with the arguments on page 7, [Tsuchida also does not teach how the "noise reduction components" (that is, noise countermeasures), used by the method of designing the printed-circuit (SC) board, together with the fundamental circuit design, may be determined.] have been sufficient to overcome the rejections applied during prosecution.

Art Unit: 2123

2.2 Dependent claims 2-9, 11-18 and 20-22 are allowed for at least the reason that they depend from an allowed base claim.

2.3 Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwin M. Craig whose telephone number is (571) 272-3710. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 - 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul L. Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-3753. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMC

Primary Examiner